In the Matter Of:

LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTION

MEETING

December 06, 2017





1 APPEARANCES 2 3 STAN CAREY, Chair Nassau-Suffolk Water Commission Association 4 5 JEFFREY SZABO Suffolk County Water Authority 6 7 MICHAEL WHITE Member 8 9 WALTER DAWYDIAK Suffolk County Department of Health 10 11 STEVE TERRACIANO USGS 12 13 JOHN MILAZZO SCWA Counsel 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25



1 (Time noted: 6:07 p.m.) 2 MR. CAREY: Okay, we are going to get 3 started here. As you can see, we did have the room 4 reserved with the accommodations, but for some 5 reason they are not accessible tonight so hopefully 6 everyone can hear me. You know, we don't have the 7 microphones, our presentation that we would normally 8 have on the screens is not going to work so we will 9 make it available on the website or if you want to 10 leave one of us your e-mail address, we will get it 11 to you by tomorrow. We just ask you to bear with 12 us. We will have public comments after the 13 presentation, but we will start -- I will ask 14 everyone to rise and start with The Pledge of 15 Allegiance. 16 (Whereupon, The Pledge of Allegiance was 17 recited at this time.) MR. CAREY: Good evening and thank you for 18 19 attending this evening's public hearing hosted by 20 the Long Island Commission for Aquifer Protection or 21 LICAP. My name is Stan Carey and I am the chairman 22 of LICAP. 23 I am joined today by Vice Chairman; Jeff 24 Szabo, Member; Michael white of Suffolk County, 25 Walter Dawydiak from Suffolk County, and Steve



Terrchiano from the USGS, and our counsel is John 1 2 Milazzo. The purpose of these hearings is to elicit public comment on LICAP's Draft Groundwater Resource 3 4 Management Plan. The draft is available online at LIAquiferCommission.com. And in just a minute I 5 6 will introduce Steve Calabufo who will give a brief 7 presentation of what the Groundwater Resources 8 Management Plan consists of as well as an outline of 9 some of the key recommendations. A list of the 10 plan's top 15 recommendations is available outside 11 if you haven't picked one up already. Hopefully 12 they were available tonight. 13 Before we begin, I would like to remind everybody that today's proceedings are designed to 14 15 provide a forum or comment on the plan and that this 16 is not a question and answer session. Any questions 17 you may have can be submitted electronically by 18 e-mailing to LICAP@SCWA.com or by e-mail, PO Box 38, 19 care of Suffolk County Water Authority, Oakdale, New York 11769. Either I or one of the LICAP members 20 21 will get back to you with an answer as soon as 22 possible. 23 All comments today will be brought to the 24 LICAP voting board for consideration during our 25 upcoming general meeting on December 13th. The



1 final report we expect to be published before the 2 end of this year. 3 As an or organization, our mission is to make sure the sole source of drinking water here on 4 Long Island is protected for future generations, and 5 6 so we love to see Long Island residents such as 7 yourselves engaged in the discussions and seeking to 8 become more educated about the issues that impact 9 our groundwater. 10 so thank you once again for attending 11 today's public hearing and with that said I will 12 turn it over to Steve Colabufo. MR. COLABUFO: Thank you very much, Stan. 13 So the presentation doesn't have any cool graphics 14 15 or anything so you have to listen to me but you 16 won't be missing any pictures or anything, it's all 17 words. As Stan mentioned, My name is Steve 18 19 Colabufo, I am the Water Resources Manager for the Suffolk County Water Authority and I am here to talk 20 21 about the LICAP Groundwater Recourses Management 22 Plan. This is like the second deliverable of LICAP. 23 Last year we were here in a similar fashion to talk 24 about the State of Aquifer Report. The Resources

Management Plan is much more comprehensive and more



1 forward thinking and this is the subject of our discussion tonight. It was an interesting 2 3 couple-year project. For those who may not know, LICAP is the 4 5 Long Island Commission for Aquifer Protection and 6 it's basically a partnership of water resource 7 professionals from Nassau and Suffolk Counties. And 8 it includes water suppliers, regulators, government officials, academics, citizen and activist groups. 9 10 It was formed for the purpose of addressing water 11 quality and water quantity issues on an island-wide 12 basis, rather than focusing on small areas as has 13 been done in the previous number of years, it really was focused on an island-wide focus on groundwater 14 15 quality and quantity problems. 16 It was created through legislation, 17 passed, both in Nassau and Suffolk Legislatures back 18 in 2013. 19 Members of LICAP include, among others, 20 every water provider in Nassau County and Suffolk. 21 Representatives of the Nassau County and Suffolk 22 County executive branches, representatives of the 23 legislatures from both counties as well as employees 24 of the Nassau and Suffolk health departments, New 25 York State DEC, as well as the USGS. There is a



1 very broad tent of groundwater professionals 2 throughout Nassau and Suffolk counties. There's nine voting members, some of which 3 are present behind me and numerous nonvoting members 4 who are from other units of county and county 5 6 governments as well as other agencies and entities 7 involved in groundwater on Long Island in some fashion. 8 Now, one of the biggest structures within 9 10 LICAP is the formation of the two LICAP subcommittees. One subcommittee is known as the 11 12 Water Recourses and Infrastructure Subcommittee or WRIS. That was chaired by myself. The other 13 14 subcommittee is the Water Resources and Opportunity 15 subcommittee chaired by Bill Merklin of D&B Engineers. The WRIS was designed to develop a plan 16 17 to identify long term risk in the water supply 18 industry and the WROS, Water Recourse Opportunity 19 Subcommittee, looked more at potential short term 20 risk facing water suppliers and the treatment 21 distribution of water from our aquifers. 22 The subcommittee began meeting in 2014. 23 The meetings were attended by a very wide 24 cross-section of Long Island's Groundwater 25 Community, similar to the members I described



1 earlier. The attendees at those meetings, following 2 the LICAP adopted law, ultimately determined the 3 subject matter for the plan. Once those topics for inclusion in the plan were determined by the members 4 of those meetings. Set up committee chairs then 5 6 divided the topics based on their charge. The water 7 resources and infrastructure subcommittee focused more on long term resource oriented topics, the WROS 8 9 focused more on shorter term facilities oriented 10 topics and the subcommittee chairs organized and over saw report writing teams to create author and 11 12 edit the reports that ultimately comprises the plan. We ended up with 15 individual reports created over 13 14 that three year period and those are sort of the raw 15 material from which the plan was made. So this plan is a culmination of efforts 16 17 started back when LICAP was first formed. The big 18 difference between the groundwater management here 19 and others that have come before it, it was produced 20 as a result of cooperative efforts among existing 21 groundwater professionals already working in the

field in both Nassau and Suffolk County. Rather

than having a consultant do a report on his own

timeline, it was decided that it would be a better

idea to have actual working professionals within the



22

23

24

1 groundwater industry on Long Island actually come up 2 with the plan, and that's what we did. So the plan provides a clear picture of 3 specific threats facing the aquifer system and also 4 addresses the adequacies of existing groundwater 5 6 management regulations and creates an action plan 7 for long-term sustainability and more importantly, 8 really, an implementation program and prioritization 9 schedule for all of the recommendations that stem 10 from the plan. Also, we take a look at recommended 11 regulatory amendments and legislative actions as 12 well. 13 All right. Again, about 15 or 16 authors for all those reports without whose hard work this 14 15 could not have been done. Shout out to all the 16 fellow authors that worked on the plan, we 17 appreciate the efforts. 18 So the 15 individual reports focused on a 19 couple of different sort of subject areas. We 20 started off with a report on the regulatory 21 framework for Long Island Groundwater management. 22 Paul Ponturo worked on that. It was a good starting 23 off point. All the regulations that govern 24 groundwater use on Long Island were documented in 25 that report. We focused on natural issues affecting



1	water quality and quantity and that includes climate
2	change, the Pine Barrens and other land preservation
3	needs. We focused on what we call cultural issues
4	that affected Long Island groundwater. That
5	included Wastewater Management in both counties.
6	Nassau and Suffolk have very different policies,
7	more septics in Suffolk County verse regional sewers
8	in Nassau. That was the subject of a lengthy
9	report. We also have a report on geothermal heating
10	and cooling. It's a very up and coming technology.
11	Since it does use groundwater, we certainly thought
12	it was deserving of its own report.
13	We also talked about water supply
14	alternatives. These are typically glanced at and
15	dismissed in previous reports but we do take a
16	little bit more of a look at desalination and
17	aquifer storage and recovery and potable water reuse
18	with a specific case right down the road in
19	Riverhead at the Indian Island Golf Course this past
20	summer.
21	Then we have water quality and water
22	quantity issues also addressed in the report.
23	Regional contamination events that affected Long
24	Island groundwater in addition to more regular

normal things like contamination of wells by road



1	salting, saltwater intrusion, and some other water
2	quality issues as reported on by the Suffolk County
3	Department of Health specifically emerging
4	contaminants and things like that. Then some water
5	quantity issues that were reported on, groundwater
6	quantity competed uses users of ground water,
7	certainly agriculture, golf courses, industry. All
8	of whom use the fair share of groundwater.
9	Analyzing and evaluating those uses was the subject
10	of one report as well as the Lloyd aquifer.
11	The Lloyd Aquifer is used pretty
12	extensively in Nassau for water supply, very
13	minimally in Suffolk but the use in the future was a
14	worthwhile subject.
15	We have a report of water efficiency and
16	conservation. Paul Granger of Port Washington did
17	that report about discussion of conservation and
18	water efficiency. We also have a report on
19	cross-county transmission, typically to supply
20	Nassau from Suffolk or from the City. That was
21	analyzed also and one of the hotter topics in the
22	recent years, the reactivation of the public supply
23	wells in Queens by the New York City DEP. That
24	report was by Brian Schneider. He worked on it. It
25	was a very eclectic mix of subject matter that was



1 the raw material from which the plan was made. 2 In addition to those 15 reports I 3 described, we also thought it was necessary and important to highlight a couple of initiatives that 4 5 were at least underway or even, in some cases, 6 completed while LICAP has been in session. The most 7 significant of those in our opinion is WaterTraq, a user friendly water quality and mapping database. 8 9 It's available for use on LICAP's website and 10 provides a very user friendly way of analyzing water 11 quality on a regional basis. That was up and 12 running this time last year, so before LICAP even 13 finishes up it's duty, WaterTraq was up and running. The USGS Long Island Sustainability Study, 14 15 that was started about six months ago and it's basically a reworking or re-examination, again, of 16 17 the Long Island hydrogeologic framework. There is information that should be very useful, should be 18 19 coming out of that as well. 20 So the plan was organized into ten specific sections. Again, those reports I mentioned 21 22 before were sort of fitted into this ten-section 23 format for the plan. We have the executive summary



introduction and that's available to look at.

15 major recommendations from the report. We have

24

1 other sections on groundwater conditions, existing 2 regulatory -- and other existing conditions both 3 natural and legal concerning the groundwater 4 situation of Long Island. Then we have a couple of sections devoted 5 to future management implementation opportunities, 6 7 and most importantly, Section 8 of the report is a recommendation and implementation schedule. 8 9 We also have a section acknowledging the 10 reports, as I did a couple of slides ago, as well as 11 their references. If anybody is interested in a 12 specific subject addressed by the plan, you can go 13 on and look in further detail. The authors' specific references are detailed. So the 15 reports 14 15 that I spoke about earlier yielded a total of 143 recommendations, so a lot of recommendations coming 16 17 into play. All those recommendations are kind of 18 assembled and provided to the LICAP voting board 19 members for them the rank either as A, immediate 20 most important, B short term, C long term or E for 21 elimination completely. Some of those 22 recommendations are very specific to one particular 23 report or another and some may not have really been 24 relevant to the overall ground water scheme of 25 things. Some were targeted for elimination.



LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTION

1

Also, similar recommendations that were

generated by different reports were combined in the recommendation section. Less than the 143 number

4 ultimately. It's about 110 or so that eventually

5 made it into the report and appear in Section 8 of

6 | the recommendation section. The top 15 are

7 | available to look at there, I am not going to go

8 | through them blow by blow. They are not in a

9 particular order as to how they appear in the

10 | executive summary.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

So public comments -- oh yeah, the other thing to mention, the full reports will be available as an appendix as well. If you are interested in some of the subject matter that is described by the plan, the actual full report will be available in the appendix in addition to the groundwater plan, the final plan, will be available at the LICAP

comment until the end of the week and final plan we
hope to be adopted at the full LICAP quarterly

website. The final draft will be available for

21 meeting on December 13th.

I've got a slide here showing how to submit them, by mail, by e-mail, on the web, or by phone. I can leave this facing the other way if you need to copy anything down.



1 In conclusion, just going forward, LICAP 2 intends to complete its yearly State of the Aquifer 3 Report within the next couple of months. It's an 4 update for the State of Aquifer Report and we hope 5 to continue budgeting based on the funding received 6 by New York State depending on how much and when 7 that funding is received, we can use it to expand 8 the data for use in WaterTrag or use in USGS 9 sustainability studies. 10 With that, we can open it up to public 11 comment and what I'll do is get that slide with all 12 the addresses and I'll leave it turned around so you 13 can see it. In the meantime, we can open it up to 14 public comment for anybody who wishes to comment. 15 MR. CAREY: Thank you, Steve. MR. COLABUFO: You're welcome. 16 17 MR. CAREY: Seth, did we do cards or we 18 didn't --19 SETH: No. 20 MR. CAREY: SO we open up the public 21 comment to whoever is here. Just on by one come up 22 to the mic and state your name and affiliation and 23 you can address the board with your comments.



Anyone want to provide comments on the plan?

24

1 MS. TRODDER: My name is Gwen Trodder (phonetic) and I am an aide to Suffolk County 2 3 Legislator Al Krupski. Al couldn't be here tonight 4 His father passed away yesterday, so I am going to 5 ask you to extend the comment period. In addition, 6 we've gotten a couple of calls -- I got a call when 7 we were back there trying to print out the slides 8 and I got one back at the office. I guess there was 9 some confusion about the venue that was noticed so 10 people -- I am requesting that you either extend the 11 comment period or hold another hearing in Riverhead 12 because I think people were confused about that. 13 That's really if you would defer to the legislature 14 and allow him to submit comments in the near future 15 and other folks who maybe wanted to come. If you are not willing to have another hearing, extend the 16 17 comments period for people who wanted to be here 18 tonight but for whatever reason wouldn't be. 19 MR. CAREY: We were published for this 20 location in Newsday last week, it was on LICAP 21 website, it was on Suffolk County Water Authority Website, it was on the Water Conference website, so 22 23 it was well advertised. 24 MS. TRODDER: All right. 25 MR. CAREY: I don't know what the



1 confusion was with this building. Obviously some of

2 | the people got it. We are accepting written

3 comments, the public portion will be closed after

4 | tonight's hearing but we will accept written

5 comments until close of business on Friday and we

6 have the addresses up there on the screen for anyone

7 | who wants to submit them by the end of the week.

8 MS. TRODDER: At the meeting next week,

9 | will you accept comments at that time?

10 MR. CAREY: Not on the plan. Public

11 | comment tonight but written comment will be accepted

12 until close of business on Friday.

MS. TRODDER: And you are not going to

14 | entertain an extension?

15 MR. CAREY: Extension?

MS. TRODDER: Just for comments.

17 MR. CAREY: That would be subject to a

18 | full meeting next week.

19 MR. MILAZZO: In light of the

20 | legislature's father passing, if he can get his

21 | comments to us, just given the personal family

22 | issues, if he can get those comments to us by

23 Tuesday.

16

MR. CAREY: Yeah, before the full meeting.

MS. TRODDER: Thank you.



1 MR. MILAZZO: Everyone else, please get 2 them to us by Friday only because the board needs to consider the comments and if a bunch of comments 3 come in the day before the meeting they won't be 4 able to get their proper consideration and that's 5 6 not the goal of the board. In light of legislature Krupski's personal circumstance, we will extend it 7 8 for him until Tuesday but everyone else by Friday, 9 and you can call us our by e-mail or however you 10 want to get the comments to us, that will be fine. 11 MR. CAREY: Anyone else want to address 12 the board on the draft plan? MR. BAYER: My name is Joe Baier and I 13 know almost all of you I guess. At one time or 14 15 another we run into each other, the comments I am making tonight are as a private citizen. I do want 16 17 to commend the board and all of the volunteers for 18 the work they did on this report. It was quite an 19 undertaking and the final product or the product 20 that I've looked at is terrific and very 21 educational, very thorough, and the topics, the 22 things on chlorides, WaterTrag, geothermal which I 23 didn't know too much about, now I do. It was 24 really, really great. The clean up projects on 25 groundwater as well as the reviews of wastewater



1 were really good. I also had the chance of calling Steve the other day and I gave him some editorial 2 3 comments rather than bore you with them tonight. I 4 do have a few things that I would like to present to 5 you for thought. 6 The first is, the report had no table of 7 contents. So as a result, I had to read the whole 8 report. 9 MR. MILAZZO: It worked. 10 (Laughter.) 11 MR. BAYER: I was going to read it any 12 way, but sometime you like to look like let's go to 13 this section first and back and forth. The first thing I would mention to you is 14 15 that on page 135 of the report, it talks about wastewater treatment in Suffolk County and there's 16 17 one -- I would like you to think to add one 18 particular line. In the 1970's, that's my era, 19 Suffolk County instituted 10-milligram per liter as 20 a wastewater discharge for sewage treatment plants. 21 The State discharge is 20 and they have an asterisk 22 next to it and it says Suffolk County has 10. I 23 think that is the first time 10 was really looked at 24 and as a result of what you say in your report where 25 you've got 120 some-odd plants all treating with



1 sewage down to less than 10. That was really the 2. start of it. I have two comments to make on water 3 conservation, the first is -- and I didn't see it 4 mentioned -- Nassau County passed the resolution in 5 6 2016 which required all new sprinkler systems that 7 would be installed started January 1 of next year. Had to be either soil moisture or rain sensors or 8 9 computerized control attached to them. In addition 10 to that, the landscapers who usually turn these 11 systems on and off had to file reports after they turn them on with the homeowner. I have a copy of 12 13 this I will leave with you. If I can leave it to the secretary or -- that's a copy of the signed 14 15 resolution, if you want to look at it. 16 The second thing was, I didn't notice the 17 conservation discussions that New York State DEC 18 required all public water suppliers on Long Island 19 to file a water conservation report this year. 20 report had to detail how the water supplier was 21 going to reduce their pumpage, which was the summer 22 pumpage by 15 percent over the next three years. 23 Just bringing that up, there is a start and there 24 was lots of, you know, we should be doing this and



you know, there has been a start already.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just going back to sewage treatment for a minute, you probably know there's three levels of treatment, primary secondary and tertiary.

Historically in health department, septic

Historically in health department, septic tanks came into being in 1972. They were required on all new houses and that was to follow, what was considered that time, even earlier than that to be primary treatment, primary treatment is settling, that's it. Basically, that's what septic tank did. The reason I bring that up is because, generally, an average number for nitrogen, total nitrogen and sewage is about 60-milligrams per liter, coming out of a leaching pool, we talked about a number of 40. I believe that's where the health department got their start of saying from there we want advanced waste treatment we want to get it down below 20 or 19. That varies on tertiary treatment which is the end all and is a very good start, I think, to one of the goals of reducing nitrogen in the ground water.

One other recommendation for you to think about ,and that would be to consider asking Nassau County to adopt a program ofor innovative and alternative on-site waste treatment systems so they can mirror what Suffolk County is doing. There's not much need for it there but especially on some of



1 the larger north shore estates, it could be 2 practical. 3 My last thought is that I hope that this LICAP can continue and that as a group, you can 4 5 continue to meet and work together, since you are 6 all volunteers, towards the various agencies and work to getting them to implement your various 7 8 recommendations you have made. You have a good 9 nucleus of volunteers already. 10 MR. CAREY: Thank you, Mr. Bayer. 11 Anyone else have any comments? 12 MR. REYNOLDS: This is my first LICAP meeting. I have to check it off my bucket list. I 13 am Roy Reynolds, i am president of the East Moriches 14 15 Property Owner's Association. We appreciate the work you have done. I prepared some written 16 17 comments which I will be submitting, but first I 18 would like to just summarize what I will be 19 submitting. 20 With a 246 page report as Joe said, a 21 table of contents would be helpful. I had to read 22 it. By my account, the report contains 108 23 recommendations. What surprises me is there's no 24 specific recommendation to for acquisition of land 25 to protect our water budget areas. This should be a



1	priority and reports should recommend that we
2	acquire as much undeveloped land as possible for
3	water budget areas. This is especially urgent since
4	some of the reports recommendations set the stage of
5	Suffolk County to ship the water into Nassau County.
6	Next, I am surprised that there are no
7	recommendations to stop the discharge of our
8	drinking water, supply to the ocean and sewage
9	treatment plants. Case and point, southwest sewer
10	district. Does anyone think it's a good idea to
11	dump 30 million gallons of drinking water into the
12	ocean every day? With additional development in the
13	works like Ronkonkoma Hub and Heartland, this will
14	increase to 40 million gallons a day. The effects
15	of this is stated on page 13 of your report and it's
16	not good. The LICAP report should recommend
17	stopping these discharges into the ocean and
18	stopping the increase. Page 58 of the report
19	states, I quote, "The increase of nutrient loads
20	from groundwater discharge, especially nitrogen, the
21	surface waters have caused (inaudible). This
22	statement is misleading and should be removed from
23	the report.
24	Is this nitrogen from the systems really
25	causing harmful algae blooms? The answer is no.



Case in point. The entire south shore of Long

1

24

2 Island, from Queens border to Oakdale has been 3 sewered since 1981, meaning no discharge of nitrogen, conventional systems entering the bays for 4 over 36 years, someone has to ask. If conventional 5 6 systems are such a problem, why hasn't their elimination and sewering solved the problem? 7 fact, the brown tide first showed up four years 8 9 after the completion of the southwest sewer 10 district. This is a cause and effect. Instead of 11 eliminating conventional sewage disposal systems, a 12 clear recommendation should be made to support 13 fixing them. Recommendation C39 (inaudible) in the 14 back of the report. It's not forceful enough. 15 In addition, some of the recommendations of the report are poorly worded and do not make 16 17 sense. For example, one recommendation reads, I 18 quote, "Identification and prioritization of parcels 19 and determine the sewers treatment plant capacity to permit the connection of identified parcels." If I 20 21 wrote this, my professor would have thrown me out of 22 class. I recommend that someone go through the 23 recommendations and correct the grammar. 24 In summary, I am submitting the written 25 comments on the report including some grammatical



Τ	suggestions and typos and questions. In addition, I
2	recommend that the 108 recommendations be grouped
3	into categories and labeled as such. Also, you
4	might consider renumbering them to eliminate
5	duplicate numbers. I hope you fine the comments
6	useful and who do I give the comments to?
7	SETH: I will take them.
8	MR. CAREY: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds.
9	Anyone else want to address the board? I
10	see a few familiar faces out there, someone has to
11	come up.
12	MR. McDONALD: Kevin McDonald, spelled
13	like the hamburger place.
14	Okay, so our general comments are offered
15	with the best of intentions even though they may not
16	sound that way and here they are.
17	So the difficulty that I had going through
18	the report and some of my colleagues had going
19	through the report was while there were a lot of
20	recommendations and a lot of topics discussed, it
21	would have been more helpful to have had a strategic
22	assessment and a comparative assessment of the ones
23	that matter the most to resource protection and
24	resource management. For instance, I was
25	particularly intrigued and Jeff knows this



1 because I have been haranguing him for years on the 2 question of conservation pricing for water to manage 3 demand rather than just selling as much water as 4 demand could possibly reach. While the recommendation is in the report, it's not actionable 5 6 in a sense that it doesn't say whose job it is, by 7 what date should it be initiated. Is it a legislative recommendation? Is it a recommendation 8 9 for water districts to develop their own 10 conversation price conservation plan and what are 11 they managing for? Are they managing just to have a 12 conservation pricing plan or are they actually 13 managing to bend the demand curve to meet a 14 particular target? You have to know what you are 15 managing for before you set a conservation price plan up to meet that demand that you were 16 17 theoretically trying to manage for. This example 18 relates to a series of other recommendations. 19 wouldn't love the idea of a conservation pricing 20 plan or a conservation water resources management 21 plan? There's not enough discussion as to what end 22 point are you managing for, so that's sort of a 23 macro recommendation. Again, I don't mean it to 24 sound unkind as much as it was an opportunity that 25 probably could've been better seized if you had more



1 time, more money, or more resources. 2 So that's one, the second major observation is that it's just sort of operationally. 3 If you are -- you need to ask yourself, is it better 4 5 to get it right than get it done and if you want to 6 just say we want to get it done, just say that but in the present form, it's not as right as it could 7 8 be and there's not a whole lot of attention paid to 9 the broader issue of if you want to protect the 10 Aguifer on Long Island, for all its purposes, we 11 drink some of it and that which we don't drink ends 12 up in our bays and harbors. And that which we use 13 in the course of having it delivered to all of our 14 businesses and residences on Long Island comes back 15 in the a form that might be suitable to drink in some cases, questionably in some cases, but it's 16 17 surely inadequate to protect the water quality of 18 our bays and harbors as it is manifesting itself in 19 a number of series problematic ways across Nassau and Suffolk County. If you didn't want to address 20 21 that, you should have just said we are leaving this 22 to others to address in the form of Long Island 23 Nitrogen Action Plan or other entities. 24 needs to take ownership of that differently and I 25 wouldn't want this report to be silent on that and



1 somehow, somebody believes that this takes care of 2 everything because that would be misleading. Finally, it's to the question of are you 3 interested in getting it done or getting it right? 4 5 If you are actually going to close the comment 6 period on Friday and adopt the plan at the end of the year, thank you for letting us come out tonight 7 8 and letting you know that different people have 9 different concerns of substance that probably wont 10 be addressed in any material and meaningful way. 11 Most people don't find that all that responsive. 12 And you know, I used to go to a hearing where the 13 person -- not you guys -- would, no matter what you 14 said, the remark was thank you for your comments, 15 they will be entered into the record as oppose to those are good comments or thank you for your 16 17 comments, let's see how they can be addressed. think -- I am sure, different people who have 18 19 presented comment on observations, you know, would like the serious consideration of their inclusion 20 21 into the document in some meaningful way. Certainly 22 I do and I know others do as well. Again, I don't 23 wish them to sound unkind. I know that different 24 people worked very hard under strained 25 circumstances, I know that people did their best,



- 29
- 1 but this is going to be a document that could be
- 2 | used by other people for other purposes, it should
- 3 be as good as it can be, not just done quickly.
- 4 | Thank you again for your time, your effort on this
- 5 | and I appreciate it. Thanks.
- 6 MR. CAREY: Thank you for your comments,
- 7 Mr. McDonald. They will be brought back to the
- 8 | board for consideration.
- 9 MR. McDONALD: Okay.
- MR. CAREY: Anyone else have any comments
- 11 | for the LICAP membership? Anyone else? I know a
- 12 | couple people walked in just a few minutes ago but
- 13 | we are reaching the end of the comment period. Does
- 14 | anyone want to have any comments made part of the
- 15 record? Anyone else? Come on up please, thank you.
- MR. O'NEIL: Hello. My name is Shawn
- 17 O'Neil. I am the executive direct of Peconic Bay
- 18 | Keeper. I don't have much original thought here but
- 19 | I would like to show some support for some of the
- 20 | previous comments made. I think it's very important
- 21 to make sure any plans accounting for ocean outfall,
- 22 | the definite direction we are going in with all
- 23 development, all large developments, Ronkonkoma Hub,
- 24 | Heartland and others seemingly moving into this
- 25 direction of ocean outfall that not only is it a



1 problem now, it's going to be an increasing problem 2 unless we end development on Long Island. 3 certainly doesn't seem like that's going to happen. 4 Having a real -- I think what's happening is with other efforts including (inaudible) and whatnot, 5 6 Ocean outfall is almost being looked at as kind of a 7 nitrogen neutral solution that if it doesn't enter bays or groundwater, it's not actually increasing 8 9 nitrogen pollution on Long Island and it's not 10 really the case, it's just simply shifting that 11 nitrogen pollution a little bit further out. 12 So anything that can be considered for considering ocean outfall and considering the 13 effects it has on depletion of groundwater, pressure 14 15 stricken water and also increasing nitrogen output to out near-shore coastal communities, I think it's 16 17 important. Just pretty much everything Kevin said, I agree with. I think it's important that the 18 19 commentary today are considered and I thank you for considering that in your board meeting coming up. 20 21 The last part, the table of contents, as 22 everyone else has sort of said, would be very, very 23 helpful. You know, I am sure with the public, 24 especially people that are not in this business, 25 they have limited time and ability to go to parts



1 that they know about and care about quickly would 2 serve the needs of public quite well. I'd like to commend you for your efforts. 3 This is a very important project. Thank you and 4 5 keep up the good work. 6 MR. CAREY: Thank you Mr. O'Neil. 7 MR. PENNY: Hello. My name is Larry Penny. I live in Noyack which is next to Sag Harbor 8 9 and I've been in the groundwater business for 40 10 years here, not selling it but trying to protect it 11 and I know a few of you gentleman over there. 12 Yeah, I am wondering, having written the groundwater plan for East Hampton Town with -- I am 13 14 trying to remember his name -- you would know who it 15 is, hydrologist, your hydrologist -- it will come to me. He actually wrote two groundwater plans for 16 17 East Hampton Town while I was working there and I am 18 wondering about the actual amount of water that will 19 be available as we continue to grow out. 20 Now, I am one of those that believes we've 21 already grown out, like a gentleman from Stony Brook 22 and we don't really know and a lot of the wells 23 that -- for example, in East Hampton, the 24 groundwater test wells, a lot of those wells have



just been you know, you know, nobody checks them

1 anymore. USGS used to check all the wells in 2 Suffolk County Health and a lot of things are going 3 I understand there's not enough people to do all the checking and probably not enough laboratory 4 5 space. I happen to get my water checked by Suffolk 6 County every other year and this year I applied in 7 November of 2016 and they didn't come until April and they only came because I got after them through 8 9 the county legislature's office. I know they were 10 busy checking all these wells for various kinds of 11 pollutants and so forth. I don't blame them, they 12 are backed up. They need more people. I think that we should start talking about limits, you know, this 13 14 nitrate, I know a lot about it because I used to 15 teach marine ecology and all those things at different universities and colleges. I think that 16 17 no matter how hard we work to get rid of the leaking 18 septics -- I think I have a leaking septic and I 19 live close to Noyack Bay. It's going to take a long 20 time to stop that nitrogen flow because it's been 21 there for 60 or 70 years and it's been moving about 22 a foot a day towards the nearest water body, towards 23 the nearest sink. It's not going to be a simple 24 thing and I am wondering if we should have some sort 25 of moratorium on building. I read in Newsday that



1 this meeting was going to be at 423 Griffing, that 2 is why I was there, and I was there with a gentleman 3 sitting back there too and we both thought it was going to be at Cornell Extension. I am wondering if 4 5 anybody is giving any thought to kind of maybe 6 moratorium on building. We got Islip, we got 3600 7 units waiting at a old sanitarium and we have 8 another 200, another 500 here, another 700 there. 9 Is it going to be enough water or are we going to --10 what if New York City wants to tap into our Long 11 Island water and they have some wells that haven't 12 been used lately. Is that all being sorted out? 13 Those are my questions and I am probably going to move to California anyway before I die, but I'd like 14 15 to see some -- really looking ahead, I think the nitrogen idea is looking ahead. I think that's very 16 good. We used to complain about it. In fact, 17 18 Suffolk County did a study back in the 1990's after 19 the brown tide and they did no more nitrogen in the 20 water, no more nitrates entering the marine water. 21 That was the main finding and that group lead to the 22 Peconic Estuary which you all know about. You know, 23 we come a long way but we got a long way to go. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. CAREY: Thank you Mr. Penny. Anyone



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else have comments? Anyone else? Just a couple points I want to make in closing.

We started this process three plus years It was a very open and transparent process and it was open to the public, anyone who wanted to become involved with any one of the subcommittees, they were welcome to do that. To be honest, it was a struggle to get to this point where we are now. I am not trying to make an excuse that it's not a perfect plan or we shouldn't perhaps extend the deadline, but, you know, one of the issues that Mr. McDonald brought up was money. We didn't have any money, everyone was a volunteer. We all have full-time jobs so it was difficult to get people to participate in this, you mentioned LINAP, LINAP was given 5 million-dollars, LICAP got zero. Again, we have no authority to mandate any of our recommendations. We have no authority over the DEC or the county health departments. This is strictly a recommendation report that we are given so I don't know what more we can do. We appreciate your comments and trust me, they will be brought back to the full LICAP board next week for consideration. They will get them ahead of time. It's not like we

had unlimited resources and funds. It was all



1 volunteers for people that had full-time jobs. 2 Again, we appreciate your comments and the process 3 was open to the public for a very, very long time 4 and all of our meetings were well advertised. With that, one more chance, anyone else want to address 5 6 the LICAP board? (No responses.) 7 MR. CAREY: So we are going to close the 8 9 public comment period tonight and it will remain 10 open to close of business on Friday with the 11 exception of Legislature Krupski understanding his 12 personal situation. We will receive his comments up 13 until Tuesday which we will distribute to the full 14 board before the meeting on Wednesday. 15 Thank you for coming. 16 (Time noted: 6:54 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, DEANNA HUDSON, a shorthand reporter and
3	Notary Public within and for the State of New York,
4	do hereby certify:
5	That the within statement is a true and
6	accurate record of the stenographic notes taken by
7	me.
8	I further certify that I am not related to
9	any of the parties to this action by blood or
10	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the
11	outcome of this matter.
12	·
13	Marafredie
14	
15	DEANNA HUDSON
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	



24

25

	21:17			9:11
1	1970's	4	8	activist
	19:18			6:9
		40	8	
20:7	1972	21:13	13:7 14:5	actual
•	21:5	23:14		8:25
.0	1981	31:9		14:15
19:22,23	24:3	400	A	31:18
20:1	1990's	423		add
.0-	33:18	33:1	ability	19:17
illigram	33.10		30:25	addition
19:19		5	academics	10:24
00	2		6:9	10:24
.08		F	0.9	
22:22 25:2	20	5 34:16	accept	14:16 16:5 20:9
25:2	19:21	34:16	17:4,9	
10	21:16	500	aggantad	24:15
14:4	21.10	33:8	accepted 17:11	25:1
1769	200	58	17.11	additional
4:20	33:8		accepting	23:12
4.20	2013	23:18	17:2	
20	6:18		accessible	address 3:10
19:25	0.10	6	3:5	15:23
.3	2014		3.5	
23:15	7:22	60	accommodati	18:11
23.13	2016	32:21	ons	25:9
.35	20:6 32:7	32.21	3:4	27:20,22
19:15		60-	account	35:5
.3th	246	milligrams	22:22	addressed
4:25	22:20	21:12		10:22
14:21		6:07	accounting	13:12
	3	3:1	29:21	28:10,17
.43			acknowledgi	addresses
13:15		6:54	ng	9:5 15:12
14:3	30	35:16	13:9	17:6
.5	23:11			17.0
4:10 8:13	36	7	acquire	addressing
9:13,18	24:5		23:2	6:10
12:2,25	2600		acquisition	adequacies
13:14	3600	70	22:24	9:5
14:6	33:6	32:21		
20:22	38	700	action	adopt
	4:18	33:8	9:6 27:23	21:22
.6		-	actionable	28:6
9:13			26:5	adopted
			_ 0	



MEETING December 06, 2017 LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTIONndex: advanced..budget

advanced	12:10	author	Bay	15:23
21:15	animoro	8:11	29:17	18:2,6,
	anymore		32:19	12,17
advertised	32:1	authority	D	25:9 29:8
16:23	appendix	4:19 5:20	Bayer	30:20
35:4	14:13,16	16:21	18:13	34:23
affected	amm1 d a d	34:17,18	19:11	35:6,14
10:4,23	applied 32:6	authors	22:10	
	32:6	9:13,16	bays	body
affecting	April		24:4	32:22
9:25	32:7	authors'	27:12,18	border
affiliation		13:13	30:8	24:2
15:22	aquifer	average		
	3:20 5:24	21:11	bear	bore
agencies	6:5 9:4		3:11	19:3
7:6 22:6	10:17		began	Box
agree	11:10,11	В	7:22	4:18
30:18	15:2,4			
	27:10	back	begin	branches
agriculture	aquifers	4:21 6:17	4:13	6:22
11:7	7:21	8:17	believes	Brian
ahead	, - 21	16:7,8	28:1	11:24
33:15,16	areas	19:13	31:20	
34:24	6:12 9:19	21:1	31.20	bring
	22:25	24:14	bend	21:10
aide	23:3	24:14 27:14	26:13	bringing
16:2	assembled		big	20:23
algae	13:18	29:7	8:17	20.23
23:25	13.10	33:3,18	0.17	broad
23.23	assessment	34:22	biggest	7:1
Allegiance	25:22	backed	7:9	broader
3:15,16	Association	32:12	Bill	27:9
alternative				27.9
21:23	22:15	Baier	7:15	Brook
41.43	asterisk	18:13	bit	31:21
alternative	19:21	Barrens	10:16	brought
s		10:2	30:11	_
10:14	attached		1. 1	4:23 29:7
amendments	20:9	based	blame	34:12,22
	attended	8:6 15:5	32:11	brown
9:11	7:23	basically	blooms	24:8
amount		6:6 12:16	23:25	33:19
31:18	attendees	21:9		
	8:1		blow	bucket
analyzed	attending	basis	14:8	22:13
11:21	3:19 5:10	6:12	board	budget
	3 17 3 10	12:11	4:24	22:25
analyzing				
analyzing	attention		13:18	23:3



budgeting	Carey	checked	19 15:16	communities
15:5	3:2,18,21	32:5	colleagues	30:16
building	15:15,17,	checking	25:18	Community
17:1	20 16:19,	32:4,10		7:25
32:25	25 17:10,		colleges	
33:6	15,17,24	checks	32:16	comparative
	18:11	31:25	combined	25:22
bunch	22:10	chlorides	14:2	competed
18:3	25:8	18:22		11:6
business	29:6,10		commend	
17:5,12	31:6	circumstanc	18:17	complain
30:24	33:25	е	31:3	33:17
31:9	35:8	18:7	comment	complete
35:10	case	circumstanc	4:3,15	15:2
	10:18	es	14:19	
businesses	23:9 24:1	28:25	15:11,14,	completed
27:14	30:10		21 16:5,	12:6
busy	30.10	citizen	11 17:11	completely
32:10	cases	6:9 18:16	28:5,19	13:21
32 10	12:5	City	29:13	
	27:16	11:20,23	35:9	completion
С	categories	33:10		24:9
	25:3		commentary	comprehensi
C39	23.3	class	30:19	ve
24:13	caused	24:22	comments	5:25
	23:21	clean	3:12 4:23	
Calabufo	causing	18:24	14:11	comprises
4:6	23:25	-	15:23,24	8:12
California		clear	16:14,17	computerize
33:14	chaired	9:3 24:12	17:3,5,9,	d
	7:13,15	climate	16,21,22	20:9
call	chairman	10:1	18:3,10,	
10:3 16:6	3:21,23	-	15 19:3	concerns
18:9		close	20:3	28:9
calling	chairs	17:5,12	22:11,17	conclusion
19:1	8:5,10	28:5	24:25	15:1
	chance	32:19	25:5,6,14	
calls	19:1 35:5	35:8,10	28:14,16,	conditions
16:6	17.1 33.3	closed	17 29:6,	13:1,2
capacity	change	17:3	•	Conference
24:19	10:2		10,14,20	16:22
	charge	closing	34:1,22	
cards	8:6	34:2	35:2,12	confused
15:17		coastal	Commission	16:12
care	check	30:16	3:20 6:5	confusion
-	22:13			16:9 17:1
4:19 28:1				
4:19 28:1 31:1	32:1	Colabufo 5:12,13,	<pre>committee 8:5</pre>	



connection	conversatio	15:3 16:6	18:4 19:2	_
24:20	n	29:12	23:12,14	10:12
conservatio	26:10	34:1	32:22	designed
n	cool	couple-year	deadline	4:14 7:16
11:16,17	5:14	6:3	34:11	detail
20:4,17,	cooling	courses	DEC	13:13
19 26:2,	10:10	11:7	6:25	20:20
10,12,15,	goonomatimo	create	20:17	detailed
19,20	<pre>cooperative 8:20</pre>	8:11	34:18	13:14
considerati	0.20	0.11	December	
on	copy	created	4:25	determine
4:24 18:5	14:25	6:16 8:13	14:21	24:19
28:20	20:12,14	creates		determined
29:8	Cornell	9:6	decided	8:2,4
34:23	33:4	aross-	8:24	develop
considered	correct	cross- county	defer	7:16 26:9
21:7	24:23	11:19	16:13	
30:12,19	4 + • 43	エエ・エフ	definite	development
	could've	cross-	29:22	23:12
consists	26:25	section		29:23
4:8	counsel	7:24	deliverable	30:2
consultant	4:1	culmination	5:22	development
8:23		8:16	delivered	s
contaminant	counties		27:13	29:23
s	6:7,23	cultural		
11:4	7:2 10:5	10:3	demand	devoted
	county	curve	26:3,4,	13:5
contaminati	3:24,25	26:13	13,16	die
on	4:19 5:20		DEP	33:14
10:23,25	6:20,21,		11:23	
contents	22 7:5	D		difference
19:7	8:22 10:7		department	8:18
22:21	11:2	D&b	11:3	differently
30:21	16:2,21	7:15	21:4,14	27:24
	19:16,19,	data	departments	difficult
continue	22 20:5	15:8	6:24	34:14
15:5	21:22,24		34:19	
22:4,5	23:5	database	depending	difficulty
31:19	27:20	12:8	15:6	25:17
control	32:2,6,9	date		direct
20:9	33:18	26:7	depletion	29:17
conventiona	34:19		30:14	
1	couple	Dawydiak	desalinatio	direction
-		3:25	n	29:22,25
24:4,5,11	9:19 12:4		11	



19:20,21	drinking	effects	5 : 7	14:10
23:7,20	5:4 23:8,	23:14	Engineers	29:17
24:3	11	30:14	7:16	existing
discharges	dump	efficiency		8:20 9:5
23:17	23:11	11:15,18	enter	13:1,2
			30:7	
discussed	duplicate	effort	entered	expand
25:20	25:5	29:4	28:15	15:7
discussion	duty	efforts		expect
6:2 11:17	12:13	8:16,20	entering 24:4	5:1
26:21		9:17 30:5		
44		31:3	33:20	extend
discussions 5:7 20:17	E	electronica	entertain	16:5,10, 16 18:7
5.7 20.17			17:14	34:10
dismissed	e-mail	11y 4:17	entire	34.10
10:15	3:10 4:18	± •⊥/	24:1	extension
disposal	14:23	elicit		17:14,15
24:11	18:9	4:2	entities	33:4
	e-mailing	eliminate	7:6 27:23	extensively
distribute	4:18	25:4	era	11:12
35:13			19:18	11.12
distributio	earlier	eliminating		
n	8:1 13:15	24:11	estates	F
7:21	21:7	elimination	22:1	-
	East	13:21,25	Estuary	faces
district	22:14	24:7	33:22	25:10
23:10	31:13,17,		evaluating	
24:10	23	emerging	11:9	facilities
districts		11:3	11.9	8:9
26:9	eclectic	employees	evening	facing
divided	11:25	6:23	3:18	7:20 9:4
8:6	ecology	end	evening's	14:24
0.0	32:15	5:2 14:19	3:19	fact
document	edit	17:7		24:8
28:21	8:12	21:18	events	33:17
29:1		26:21	10:23	
documented	editorial	28:6	eventually	fair
9:24	19:2	29:13	14:4	11:8
	educated	30:2		familiar
draft	5:8		exception	25:10
4:3,4		ended	35:11	
14:18	educational	8:13	excuse	family
18:12	18:21	ends	34:9	17:21
drink	effect	27:11	executive	fashion
27:11,15	24:10		6:22	5:23 7:8
-		engaged	12:23	
			1 / . / 4	



father	follow	34:25	good	14:16
16:4	21:6	future	3:18 9:22	18:25
17:20	£L	5:5 11:13	19:1	23:20
E-11	foot		21:18	30:8,14
fellow	32:22	13:6	22:8	31:9,13,
9:16	forceful	16:14	23:10,16	16,24
ield	24:14		28:16	
8:22	form	G	29:3 31:5	group
file	27:7,15,		33:17	22:4
20:11,19	27.7,15,	gallons		33:21
20.11,19	22	_	govern	grouped
inal	format	23:11,14	9:23	25:2
5:1	12:23	gave	government	
14:17,18,	formation	19:2	6:8	groups
19 18:19		.		6:9
13 m a 1 1	7:10	general	governments	grow
inally	formed	4:25	7:6	31:19
28:3	6:10 8:17	25:14	grammar	
ind	6	generally	24:23	grown
28:11	forum	21:10		31:21
	4:15		grammatical	guess
Einding	forward	generated	24:25	16:8
33:21	6:1 15:1	14:2	Granger	18:14
ine		generations	11:16	10 11
18:10	framework	5:5		guys
25:5	9:21		graphics	28:13
	12:17	gentleman	5:14	Gwen
finishes	Friday	31:11,21	great	16:1
12:13	17:5,12	33:2	18:24	10.1
fitted	18:2,8	geothermal	10.74	
12:22	28:6	10:9	Griffing	H
	35:10	18:22	33:1	-
ixing		10.22	ground	hamburger
24:13	friendly	give	11:6	25:13
Elow	12:8,10	4:6 25:6	13:24	23.13
32:20	full	giving	21:19	Hampton
52.20	14:12,15,	33:5	21.19	31:13,17
focus	20 17:18,	33.3	groundwater	23
6:14	24 34:23	glanced	4:3,7	hannan
focused	35:13	10:14	5:9,21	happen 30:3 32:5
6:14 8:7,	23.12	goal	6:14 7:1,	30.3 34.5
9 9:18,25	full-time	18:6	7,24	happening
10:3	34:14	TO • O	8:18,21	30:4
T0.2	35:1	goals	9:1,5,21,	haman
Eocusing	fundin-	21:19	24 10:4,	haranguing
6:12	funding	ao1 €	11,24	26:1
Folled	15:5,7	golf	11:5,8	Harbor
folks	funds	10:19	13:1,3	31:8
16:15	Luius	11:7	4 : 4	31.0



harbors	honest	implementat	11:7	Island
27:12,18	34:7	ion		3:20 5:5,
•		9:8 13:6,	information	6 6:5 7:7
hard	hope	8	12:18	9:1,21,24
9:14	14:20	-	infrastruct	10:4,19,
28:24	15:4 22:3	important	ure	24 12:14,
32:17	25:5	12:4	7:12 8:7	17 13:4
harmful	hosted	13:20		20:18
23:25	3:19	29:20	initiated	24:2
	•	30:17,18	26:7	27:10,14,
health	hotter	31:4	initiatives	22 30:2,9
6:24 11:3	11:21	importantly	12:4	33:11
21:4,14	houses	9:7 13:7	innovative	
32:2	21:6		21:22	Island's
34:19	Hub	inadequate		7:24
hear	23:13	27:17	installed	island-wide
3:6	23:13	inaudible	20:7	6:11,14
hearing	43.43	23:21	instance	
3:19 5:11	hydrogeolog	24:13	25:24	Islip
16:11,16	ic	30:5		33:6
17:4	12:17	include	instituted	issue
28:12	hydrologist	6:19	19:19	27:9
20.12	31:15	0.19	intends	issues
hearings	31.13	included	15:2	5:8 6:11
4:2		10:5		9:25
Heartland	I	includes	intentions	10:3,22
23:13		6:8 10:1	25:15	11:2,5
29:24	idea		interested	17:22
	8:25	including	13:11	34:11
heating	23:10	24:25	14:13	34.11
10:9	26:19	30:5	28:4	
helpful	33:16	inclusion	interesting	J
22:21		8:4 28:20	6:2	
25:21	Identificat		0.2	January
30:23	ion	increase	intrigued	20:7
hiahliah	24:18	23:14,18,	25:25	
highlight	identified	19	introduce	Jeff
12:4	24:20	increasing	4:6	3:23
Historicall	identify	30:1,8,15		25:25
У	7:17	Indian	introductio	job
21:4	/ • ± /	10:19	n	26:6
hold	impact		12:24	daha
16:11	5:8	individual	intrusion	jobs
10.11	implement	8:13 9:18	11:1	34:14
homeowner	22:7	industry		35:1
20:12	,	7:18 9:1	involved	Joe
		· -	7:7 34:6	18:13



22:20	larger	lengthy	listen	lots
John	22:1	10:8	5:15	20:24
4:1	Larry	letting	liter	love
	31:7	28:7,8	19:19	5:6 26:19
joined			21:12	
3:23	Laughter	levels	13	-
	19:10	21:2	live 31:8	M
K	law	Liaquiferco	32:19	
	8:2	mmission.	32.19	macro
Keeper	leaching	com.	Lloyd	26:23
29:18	21:13	4:5	11:10,11	made
		LICAP	loads	8:15 12:1
Kevin	lead	3:21,22	23:19	14:5 22:8
25:12	33:21	4:20,24	1	24:12
30:17	leaking	5:21,22	location 16:20	29:14,20
key	32:17,18	6:4,19	10.70	
4:9	leave	7:10 8:2,	long	mail 14:23
kind	3:10	17 12:6,	3:20 5:5,	14.72
13:17	14:24	12 13:18	6 6:5	main
30:6 33:5	15:12	14:17,20	7:7,17,24	33:21
	20:13	15:1	8:8 9:1,	major
kinds		16:20	21,24	12:25
32:10	leaving	22:4,12	10:4,23	27:2
Krupski	27:21	23:16	12:14,17	
16:3	legal	29:11	13:4,20	make
35:11	13:3	34:16,23	20:18	3:9 5:4
77 al- i l a	legislation	35:6	24:1	20:3
Krupski's	6:16	LICAP's	27:10,14,	24:16
18:7	0:10	4:3 12:9	22 30:2,9	29:21
	legislative		32:19	34:2,9
L	9:11 26:8	LICAP@SCWA.	33:10,23	making
	Legislator	COM	35:3	18:16
labeled	16:3	4:18	long-term	managa
25:3		light	9:7	manage 26:2,17
	legislature	17:19	1 1 3	20.2,17
laboratory	16:13	18:6	looked 7:19	management
32:4	18:6	limited		4:4,8
land	35:11	30:25	18:20 19:23	5:21,25
10:2	legislature		30:6	8:18 9:6,
22:24	's	limits	30.0	21 10:5
23:2	17:20	32:13	lot	13:6
lander	32:9	LINAP	13:16	25:24
landscapers	1001010	34:15	25:19,20	26:20
20:10	legislature		27:8	Manager
large	S	list	31:22,24	5:19
29:23	6:17,23	4:9 22:13	32:2,14	-



MEETING December 06, 2017 LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTION Index: managing..office

managing	33:1	4:5 21:2	11:12,20	16:9
26:11,13,	35:14	minutes	20:5	November
15,22	meetings	29:12	21:21	32:7
mandate	7:23 8:1,		23:5	AT
34:17	5 35:4	mirror	27:19	Noyack
	20.00	21:24	natural	31:8
manifesting	Member	misleading	9:25 13:3	32:19
27:18	3:24	23:22	noon ahono	nucleus
mapping	members	28:2	near-shore 30:16	22:9
12:8	4:20 6:19	missing	30.10	number
marine	7:3,4,25	5:16	nearest	6:13 14:3
32:15	8:4 13:19		32:22,23	21:11,13
33:20	membership	mission	neutral	27:19
	29:11	5:3	30:7	
material		mix		numbers
8:15 12:1	mention	11:25	Newsday	25:5
28:10	14:12		16:20	numerous
matter	19:14	moisture	32:25	7:4
8:3 11:25	mentioned	20:8	nitrate	· -
14:14	5:18	money	32:14	nutrient
25:23	12:21	27:1		23:19
28:13	20:5	34:12,13	nitrates	
32:17	34:15		33:20	0
		months	nitrogen	
Mcdonald	Merklin	12:15	21:11,19	
25:12	7:15	15:3	23:20,24	O'NEIL
29:7,9	mic	moratorium	24:4	29:16,17
34:12	15:22	32:25	27:23	31:6
meaning		33:6	30:7,9,	Oakdale
24:3	Michael	Moriches	11,15	4:19 24:2
	3:24		32:20	observation
meaningful	microphones	22:14	33:16,19	
28:10,21	3:7	move	•	27:3
meantime	Wiless	33:14	nonvoting	observation
15:13	Milazzo	moving	7:4	s
maat	4:2 17:19 18:1 19:9	29:24	normal	28:19
meet	10.1 13.9	32:21	10:25	ocean
22:5	million	J	nonth	23:8,12,
26:13,16	23:11,14		north	17 29:21
meeting	million-	N	22:1	25 30:6,
4:25 7:22	dollars		noted	13
14:21	34:16	Nassau	3:1 35:16	Τ 3
17:8,18,		6:7,17,	notice	offered
24 18:4	minimally	20,21,24	20:16	25:14
00-10	11:13	7:2 8:22	∠0.10	office
22:13				OTTTCE
30:20	minute	10:6,8	noticed	16:8 32:9



officials	outline	Penny	Pine	24:1
6:9	4:8	31:7,8	10:2	26:22
ofor	output	33:25	place	34:8
21:22	30:15	people	25:13	points
on-site	Owner's	16:10,12,	plan	34:2
21:23	22:15	17 17:2	4:4,8,15	policies
21.23	22.13	28:8,11,		-
online	ownership	18,24,25	5:22,25	10:6
4:4	27:24	29:2,12	7:16 8:3,	pollutants
		30:24	4,12,15,	32:11
open		32:3,12	16 9:2,3,	
15:10,13,	P	34:14	6,10,16	pollution
20 34:4,5		35:1	12:1,20,	30:9,11
35:3,10	p.m.		23 13:12	Ponturo
operational	3:1 35:16	percent	14:15,16,	9:22
ly		20:22	17,19	
27:3	paid	perfect	15:24	pool
	27:8	34:10	17:10	21:13
opinion	parcels	34.10	18:12	poorly
12:7	24:18,20	period	26:10,12,	24:16
opportuniti		8:14	16,20,21	21 10
es	part	16:5,11,	27:23	Port
13:6	29:14	17 28:6	28:6	11:16
13.0	30:21	29:13	31:13	portion
opportunity	participate	35:9	34:10	17:3
7:14,18	34:15			17.5
26:24	34.13	permit	plan's	possibly
oppose	partnership	24:20	4:10	26:4
28:15	6:6	person	plans	potable
20.13	parts	28:13	29:21	10:17
order	30:25	-	31:16	10.17
14:9	50.25	personal		potential
organizatio	passed	17:21	plant	7:19
_	6:17 16:4	18:7	24:19	practical
n 5:3	20:5	35:12	plants	22:2
5 • 3	nagging	phone	19:20,25	22.2
organized	passing 17:20	14:24	23:9	prepared
8:10	⊥ / • ∠∪		43.7	22:16
12:20	past	phonetic	play	nregent
	10:19	16:2	13:17	<pre>present 7:4 19:4</pre>
oriented	Davil	picked	Pledge	_
8:8,9	Paul	4:11	_	27:7
original	9:22	1.1	3:14,16	presentation
29:18	11:16	picture	PO	n
	Peconic	9:3	4:18	3:7,13
outfall	29:17	niaturos		4:7 5:14
29:21,25 30:6,13	33:22	<pre>pictures 5:16</pre>	point	
	J J - Z Z	n ' 1 h	9:23 23:9	presented



28:19	process	public	questionabl	21:10
preservatio	34:3,4	3:12,19	У	receive
n	35:2	4:3 5:11	27:16	35:12
10:2	produced	11:22	questions	
-	8:19	14:11	4:16 25:1	received
president		15:10,14,	33:13	15:5,7
22:14	product	20 17:3,		recent
pressure	18:19	10 20:18	quickly	11:22
30:14	professiona	30:23	29:3 31:1	
	ls	31:2 34:5	quote	recited
pretty	6:7 7:1	35:3,9	23:19	3:17
11:11	8:21,25	published	24:18	recommend
30:17		5:1 16:19	21 20	23:1,16
previous	professor	3.1 10.19		24:22
6:13	24:21	pumpage	R	25:2
10:15	program	20:21,22		
29:20	9:8 21:22	purpose	rain	recommendat
		4:2 6:10	20:8	ion
price	project			13:8
26:10,15	6:3 31:4	purposes	rank	14:3,6
pricing	projects	27:10	13:19	21:20
26:2,12,	18:24	29:2	raw	22:24
19			8:14 12:1	24:12,13,
	proper	Q		17 26:5,
primary	18:5		re-	8,23
21:3,8	Property		examination	34:20
print	22:15	quality	12:16	recommendat
16:7		6:11,15	reach	ions
	protect	10:1,21	26:4	4:9,10
prioritizat	22:25	11:2		9:9 12:25
ion	27:9,17	12:8,11	reaching	13:16,17,
9:8 24:18	31:10	27:17	29:13	22 14:1
priority	protected	quantity	reactivatio	22:8,23
23:1	5:5	6:11,15	n	23:4,7
private	protection	10:1,22	11:22	24:15,23
18:16	3:20 6:5	11:5,6	read	25:2,20
	25:23	·	19:7,11	26:18
problem	45.43	quarterly	22:21	34:18
24:6,7	provide	14:20	32:25	
30:1	4:15	Queens	34.43	recommended
problematic	15:24	11:23	reads	9:10
27:19	provided	24:2	24:17	record
	13:18		real	28:15
problems	±3•±0	question	30:4	29:15
6:15	provider	4:16 26:2	30.4	
proceedings	6:20	28:3	reason	Recourse
4:14			3:5 16:18	7:18
1 - 1 1				



MEETING December 06, 2017 LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTION Index: Recourses..Seth

Recourses	report	residences	16:11	section
5:21 7:12	5:1,24	27:14	4	13:7,9
	8:11,23		road	14:3,5,6
recovery	9:20,25	residents	10:18,25	19:13
10:17	10:9,12,	5:6	Ronkonkoma	
reduce	22 11:10,	resolution	23:13	sections
20:21	15,17,18,	20:5,15	29:23	12:21
	24 12:25			13:1,5
reducing	13:7,23	resource	room	seeking
21:19	14:5,15	4:3 6:6	3:3	5:7
references	15:3,4	8:8	Roy	
13:11,14	18:18	25:23,24	22:14	seemingly
		resources	22 11	29:24
regional	19:6,8,	4:7 5:19,	run	seized
10:7,23	15,24	24 7:14	18:15	26:25
12:11	20:19,20	8:7 26:20	running	20.25
regular	22:20,22		12:12,13	selling
10:24	23:15,16,	27:1	12.12,13	26:3
10.24	18,23	34:25		31:10
regulations	24:14,16,	responses	s	
9:6,23	25 25:18,	35:7		sense
<u>.</u>	19 26:5		_	24:17
regulators	27:25	responsive	Sag	26:6
6:8	34:20	28:11	31:8	sensors
regulatory		result	salting	20:8
9:11,20	reported	8:20	11:1	20.0
13:2	11:2,5	19:7,24		septic
13 2	reports	19.7,24	saltwater	21:4,9
relates	8:12,13	reuse	11:1	32:18
26:18	9:14,18	10:17	sanitarium	gontias
relevant	10:15		33:7	septics
13:24	12:2,21	reviews	33.1	10:7
13.24	·	18:25	schedule	32:18
remain	13:10,14	reworking	9:9 13:8	series
35:9	14:2,12	12:16		26:18
	20:11		scheme	27:19
remark	23:1,4	Reynolds	13:24	_, _,
28:14	representat	22:12,14	Schneider	serve
remember	ives	25:8	11:24	31:2
31:14	6:21,22	rid		session
J = 1	0.21,22	32:17	screen	4:16 12:6
remind	requesting	32.11	17:6	4.10 12.0
4:13	16:10	rise	screens	set
romourod		3:14	3:8	8:5 23:4
removed	required		3.0	26:15
23:22	20:6,18	risk	secondary	
renumbering	21:5	7:17,20	21:3	Seth
25:4	reserved	Riverhead		15:17,19
-			secretary	25:7
	3:4	10:19	20:14	



settling	signed	8:14 9:19	3:13,14	strategic
21:8	20:14	12:22	20:2,23,	25:21
sewage	significant	26:22	25 21:15,	stricken
19:20	12:7	27:3	18 32:13	30:15
20:1	12.7	30:22	started	30.13
21:1,12	silent	32:24	3:3 8:17	strictly
23:8	27:25	sorted	9:20	34:19
24:11	similar	33:12	12:15	structures
24.11	5:23 7:25	33.12	20:7 34:3	7:9
sewer	14:1	sound	20.7 34.3	-
23:9 24:9		25:16	starting	struggle
sewered	simple	26:24	9:22	34:8
24:3	32:23	28:23	state	studies
24.3	simply	goungo	5:24 6:25	15:9
sewering	30:10	source		13.7
24:7	20.10	5:4	15:2,4,6,	study
CONTORC	sink	south	22 19:21	12:14
sewers	32:23	24:1	20:17	33:18
10:7	sitting		stated	subcommitte
24:19	33:3	southwest	23:15	
share	33.3	23:9 24:9		e 7.11 10
11:8	situation	space	statement	7:11,12,
	13:4	32:5	23:22	14,15,19,
Shawn	35:12		states	22 8:7,10
29:16	-2.2.2.	specific	23:19	subcommitte
shifting	slide	9:4 10:18		es
30:10	14:22	12:21	stem	7:11 34:6
	15:11	13:12,14,	9:9	
ship	slides	22 22:24	Steve	subject
23:5	13:10	specificall	3:25 4:6	6:1 8:3
shore	16:7	У	5:12,18	9:19 10:8
22:1 24:1		11:3	15:15	11:9,14,
	small	11.3	19:2	25 13:12
short	6:12	spelled	19.2	14:14
7:19	soil	25:12	Stony	17:17
13:20	20:8	spoke	31:21	submit
shorter		13:15	stop	14:23
8:9	sole		23:7	16:14
	5:4	sprinkler	32:20	17:7
Shout	solution	20:6	34·4U	11.1
9:15	30:7	stage	stopping	submitted
		23:4	23:17,18	4:17
show	solved	43.4	atoroso	submitting
show				OUDMITEINA
show 29:19	24:7	Stan	storage	_
	24:7	Stan 3:21	10:17	22:17,19
29:19	24:7 some-odd			-
29:19 showed	24:7	3:21	10:17	22:17,19



MEETING December 06, 2017 LONG ISLAND COMMISSION FOR AQUIFER PROTECTION Index: Suffolk..Trodder

Suffolk	surely	21:9	20:16	4:14 5:11
3:24,25	27:17	tanks	32:24	tomorrow
4:19 5:20	surface	21:5	things	3:11
6:7,17,	23:21		10:25	
20,21,24		tap	11:4	tonight
7:2 8:22	surprised	33:10	13:25	3:5 4:12
10:6,7	23:6	target	18:22	6:2 16:3,
11:2,13,	surprises	26:14	19:4	18 17:11
20 16:2,	22:23		32:2,15	18:16
21 19:16,		targeted		19:3 28:7
19,22	sustainabil	13:25	thinking	35:9
21:24	ity	teach	6:1	tonight's
23:5	9:7 12:14	32:15	thought	17:4
27:20	15:9		10:11	1 , 1
32:2,5	system	teams	12:3 19:5	top
33:18	9:4	8:11	22:3	4:10 14:6
	-	technology	29:18	topics
suggestions	systems	10:10	33:3,5	8:3,6,8,
25:1	20:6,11		33.3,5	10 11:21
suitable	21:23	ten	threats	18:21
27:15	23:24	12:20	9:4	25:20
	24:4,6,11	ten-section	thrown	23.20
summarize	Szabo	12:22	24:21	total
22:18	3:24		24.21	13:15
summary	3.24	tent	tide	21:11
12:23		7:1	24:8	Town
14:10	T	term	33:19	31:13,17
24:24		7:17,19	time	31.13,17
	table	8:8,9		transmissio
summer	19:6	13:20	3:1,17	n
10:20	22:21		12:12	11:19
20:21		Terrchiano	17:9	+ wan an an an an +
supplier	30:21	4:1	18:14	transparent
20:20	takes	terrific	19:23	34.4
	28:1	18:20	21:7 27:1	treating
suppliers	+a11 -		29:4	19:25
6:8 7:20	talk	tertiary	30:25	troatmont
20:18	5:20,23	21:3,17	32:20	treatment
supply	talked	test	34:24	7:20
7:17	10:13	31:24	35:3,16	19:16,20
/ • 1 /	21:13		timeline	21:1,3,8,
		theoretical	8:24	16,17,23
10:13	La11-3		0 21	23:9
10:13 11:12,19,	talking	ly		0
10:13	talking 32:13	ly 26:17	today	24:19
10:13 11:12,19,	_	26:17	3:23 4:23	24:19 Trodder
10:13 11:12,19, 22 23:8	32:13	26:17		Trodder
10:13 11:12,19, 22 23:8 support	32:13 talks	26:17	3:23 4:23	



ONG ISLAND	3011111100101111			
16,25	unkind		30:15	31:12,18
trust	26:24	W	31:18	32:24
34:22	28:23		32:5,22	33:4
74.77	unlimited	waiting	33:9,11,	wont
Tuesday	34:25	33:7	20	28:9
17:23	34.25	33.7		20.9
18:8	upcoming	walked	waters	worded
35:13	4:25	29:12	23:21	24:16
turn	update	Walter	Watertraq	words
5:12	15:4	3:25	12:7,13	5:17
20:10,12	13.4	3.43	15:8	3.17
20.10,12	urgent	wanted	18:22	work
turned	23:3	16:15,17	*******	3:8 9:14
15:12	user	34:5	ways 27:19	18:18
typically	12:8,10	Washington	27.19	22:5,7,16
10:14	12.0,10	11:16	web	31:5
11:19	users	11.10	14:23	32:17
11.19	11:6	waste	website	worked
typos	USGS	21:16,23	3:9 12:9	9:16,22
25:1	4:1 6:25	wastewater	14:18	11:24
	12:14	10:5	16:21,22	19:9
**	15:8 32:1	18:25	10.21,22	28:24
<u>U</u>	15.0 32.1	19:16,20	Wednesday	20.24
		19.10,20	35:14	working
ultimately	V	water	week	8:21,25
8:2,12		4:19 5:4,	14:19	31:17
14:4	varies	19,20	16:20	works
understand	21:17	6:6,8,10,	17:7,8,18	23:13
32:3	21.1,	11,20	34:23	23.13
	venue	7:12,14,	34.23	worthwhile
understandi	16:9	17,18,20,	wells	11:14
ng	verse	21 8:6	10:25	WRIS
35:11	10:7	10:1,13,	11:23	7:13,16
undertaking		17,21	31:22,24	
18:19	Vice	11:1,4,6,	32:1,10	writing
	3:23	12,15,18	33:11	8:11
underway	volunteer	12:8,10	whatnot	written
12:5	<pre>volunteer 34:13</pre>	12:8,10 13:24	whatnot	written 17:2.4.11
12:5	34:13		whatnot 30:5	17:2,4,11
12:5 undeveloped	34:13 volunteers	13:24	30:5 white	17:2,4,11 22:16
12:5 undeveloped 23:2	34:13 volunteers 18:17	13:24 16:21,22	30:5	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24
12:5 undeveloped 23:2 units	34:13 volunteers 18:17 22:6,9	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18,	30:5 white 3:24	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24 31:12
12:5 undeveloped 23:2	34:13 volunteers 18:17	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18, 19,20	30:5 white 3:24 wide	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24
12:5 undeveloped 23:2 units 7:5 33:7	34:13 volunteers 18:17 22:6,9 35:1	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18, 19,20 21:19	30:5 white 3:24 wide 7:23	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24 31:12
12:5 undeveloped 23:2 units	34:13 volunteers 18:17 22:6,9 35:1 voting	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18, 19,20 21:19 22:25	30:5 white 3:24 wide 7:23 wishes	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24 31:12 WROS 7:18 8:8
12:5 undeveloped 23:2 units 7:5 33:7 universitie s	34:13 volunteers 18:17 22:6,9 35:1 voting 4:24 7:3	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18, 19,20 21:19 22:25 23:3,5,8, 11 26:2,	30:5 white 3:24 wide 7:23	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24 31:12 WROS 7:18 8:8
12:5 undeveloped 23:2 units 7:5 33:7 universitie	34:13 volunteers 18:17 22:6,9 35:1 voting	13:24 16:21,22 20:3,18, 19,20 21:19 22:25 23:3,5,8,	30:5 white 3:24 wide 7:23 wishes	17:2,4,11 22:16 24:24 31:12 WROS 7:18 8:8



Y year 5:2,23 8:14 12:12 20:7,19 28:7 32:6 yearly 15:2 years 6:13 11:22 20:22 24:5,8 26:1 31:10 32:21 34:3 yesterday 16:4 yielded 13:15 York 4:20 6:25 11:23

15:6 20:17 33:10

